INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOREIGN TRADE AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS UPGRADATION

Upgrading SMEs through Global Value Chain Integration

Dr. Ahmed Nouri
University of Tunis, Tunisia

* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Ahmed Nouri**

Article Info

P-ISSN: 3051-3340
E-ISSN: 3051-3359
Volume: 04
Issue: 01
January - June 2023
Received: 02-03-2023
Accepted: 03-04-2023
Published: 20-04-2023
Page No: 15-18

Abstract
Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) are vital to economic development, employment generation, and innovation, especially in emerging and developing economies. However, their growth potential is often constrained by limited access to global markets, technology, financing, and knowledge. Integration into Global Value Chains (GVCs) presents a strategic opportunity for SMEs to overcome these limitations and upgrade their capabilities in terms of productivity, quality, and innovation.

This paper explores how SMEs can achieve economic upgrading by participating in GVCs, focusing on both vertical and horizontal linkages with multinational corporations, export markets, and global suppliers. It outlines the mechanisms through which GVC integration supports SME development, including technology spillovers, skills transfer, improved access to international standards, and enhanced competitiveness. The research categorizes upgrading into three main forms: product upgrading, process upgrading, and functional upgrading, all of which are critical for SMEs to move up the value chain and capture higher value-added activities.

The study also highlights the enabling factors necessary for successful GVC integration, such as supportive trade policies, digital infrastructure, logistics, financing, and capacity-building programs. Special attention is given to the role of digital platforms, e-commerce, and Industry 4.0 technologies in bridging gaps between SMEs and global markets. Furthermore, the paper evaluates the challenges SMEs face in entering and sustaining participation in GVCs, including regulatory barriers, compliance with international standards, and power imbalances in supply chain relationships.

Using case studies from Asia, Africa, and Latin America, the paper demonstrates how targeted interventions—such as public-private partnerships, export promotion initiatives, and supplier development programs—can facilitate SME upgrading through GVCs. The findings emphasize the need for coordinated efforts among governments, international organizations, and the private sector to build an inclusive ecosystem that empowers SMEs to thrive in global markets.

In conclusion, integrating SMEs into global value chains is not only a pathway for their individual growth but also a strategy for sustainable economic development and global competitiveness.

Keywords: SMEs, Global Value Chains (GVCs), Economic Upgrading, Process Upgrading, Product Upgrading, Functional Upgrading, International Trade, Supply Chain Integration, Industry 4.0, Digital Platforms

Introduction

Small and Medium Enterprises constitute over 90% of businesses worldwide and employ approximately 70% of the global

workforce, making them critical drivers of economic growth and employment creation. However, SMEs traditionally face numerous constraints in accessing international markets, including limited resources, lack of market information, and inability to meet international standards. Wignaraja (2012) from the Asian Development Bank's Office of Regional Economic Integration argues that Global Value Chain integration presents a transformative opportunity for SMEs to overcome these traditional barriers and participate in international trade [1].

The fragmentation of production processes across countries has created new entry points for SMEs in global markets. Rather than producing complete products, SMEs can specialize in specific tasks, components, or services within broader value chains. Baldwin (2012) from the Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies describes this phenomenon as the "second unbundling" of globalization, where production stages are geographically separated and coordinated through global networks [2].

GVC integration offers SMEs multiple pathways for upgrading their capabilities and moving toward higher-value activities. Humphrey and Schmitz (2002) from the Institute of Development Studies at University of Sussex identify four types of upgrading that are particularly relevant for SMEs: process upgrading (increasing efficiency), product upgrading (moving to higher-value products), functional upgrading (acquiring new functions), and chain upgrading (moving to new sectors) [3].

Theoretical Framework: SMEs and GVC Upgrading

Understanding SME Characteristics in GVCs

SMEs possess distinct characteristics that both facilitate and constrain their GVC participation. Morrison *et al*. (2008) from SPRU at University of Sussex argue that SME flexibility and agility can be significant advantages in rapidly changing global markets[4]. Their ability to adapt quickly to customer requirements and market changes makes them valuable partners for lead firms seeking responsive suppliers. However, SMEs also face inherent limitations including resource constraints, limited technological capabilities, and weak market positions. Giuliani *et al*. (2005) from the Department of Economics at University of Pisa demonstrate that these limitations can prevent SMEs from capturing the full benefits of GVC participation and may trap them in low-value activities [5].

Table 1: SME Characteristics and GVC Integration Implications

SME Characteristic	Advantage for GVC Integration	Disadvantage for GVC Integration	Upgrading Implication
Size & Scale	Flexibility, quick decision-making	Limited production capacity	Need for strategic focus
Financial Resources	Lower overhead costs	Limited investment capacity	Requires external financing
Technology Access	Adaptability to new technologies	Limited R&D capabilities	Technology transfer critical
Market Knowledge	Local market expertise	Limited international experience	Learning and capacity building
Human Capital	Personal relationships	Limited specialized skills	Skills development priority
Innovation Capability	Creative problem-solving	Systematic innovation gaps	Innovation system support

Upgrading Pathways for SMEs

The upgrading process for SMEs in GVCs follows different trajectories depending on their initial capabilities, sector characteristics, and value chain governance structures. Ponte and Ewert (2009) from the Danish Institute for International Studies and University of the Western Cape's School of Government respectively identify several upgrading pathways specific to SMEs [6].

Process upgrading represents the most common initial step for SMEs entering GVCs. This involves improving production efficiency, quality control systems, and operational processes to meet international standards. Many SMEs begin their GVC journey as basic suppliers and gradually improve their operational capabilities.

Product upgrading involves moving to higher-value products within the same functional category. For manufacturing SMEs, this might involve producing more sophisticated components or finished goods with higher specifications and quality requirements.

Functional upgrading represents a more ambitious form of upgrading where SMEs acquire new functions within value chains. This might involve moving from manufacturing to design, from production to marketing, or from single-function suppliers to full-service providers.

Mechanisms of SME Upgrading through GVC Integration

Knowledge and Technology Transfer

GVC participation exposes SMEs to advanced technologies, management practices, and international standards that would otherwise be inaccessible. Fu *et al*. (2011) from the Technology Management Centre at University of Cambridge show that technology transfer through GVCs can significantly enhance SME productivity and innovation capabilities[7].

The transfer occurs through multiple channels including direct technology transfer from lead firms, learning from foreign buyers, exposure to international best practices, and access to global knowledge networks. However, successful technology absorption requires adequate absorptive capacity within SMEs.

Market Access and Export Opportunities

GVC integration provides SMEs with indirect access to global markets through their relationships with lead firms or tier-1 suppliers. This "piggyback" approach allows SMEs to export without developing independent marketing capabilities or international distribution networks. Knorringa and Pegler (2006) from the Institute of Social Studies at Erasmus University demonstrate that this market access can be particularly valuable for SMEs in developing countries [8].

Learning and Capability Development

Participation in GVCs creates intensive learning opportunities for SMEs. Buyers often provide technical assistance, training programs, and capability development support to ensure that suppliers meet required standards. Ivarsson and Alvstam (2010) from the School of Business, Economics and Law at University of Gothenburg show that this learning-by-exporting effect can significantly enhance SME capabilities [9].

Table 2: SME Learning Mechanisms in GVCs

Learning Mechanism	Description	Upgrading Impact	Examples
Buyer-driven training	Lead firms provide technical training	Process & product upgrading	Quality management, lean manufacturing
Standard compliance	Meeting international standards	Process upgrading	ISO certification, safety standards
Technology transfer	Access to advanced technologies	Process & product upgrading	New machinery, software systems
Knowledge spillovers	Learning from other network participants	All types of upgrading	Best practices, market information
Collaborative R&D	Joint innovation projects	Product & functional upgrading	New product development
Supply chain integration	Deep integration with buyer systems	Functional upgrading	Logistics management, inventory systems

Enablers and Barriers to SME Upgrading

Key Enablers

Several factors facilitate successful SME upgrading through GVC integration. Government support plays a crucial role through policies that enhance SME capabilities and facilitate GVC participation. Altenburg (2007) from the German Development Institute argues that targeted industrial policies can significantly enhance SME upgrading outcomes [10].

Financial access is another critical enabler. Upgrading often requires significant investments in technology, training, and infrastructure that may be beyond SME internal resources. Beck and Demirguc-Kunt (2006) from the World Bank's Development Research Group show that access to appropriate financing is essential for SME upgrading [11].

Business development services including consulting, training, and technical assistance can help SMEs navigate upgrading challenges and build required capabilities. These services are particularly important for SMEs lacking internal expertise in areas such as quality management, technology adoption, and international market requirements.

Major Barriers

Despite the potential benefits, SMEs face numerous barriers to successful upgrading through GVC integration. Resource constraints represent the most fundamental barrier, limiting SME ability to invest in upgrading activities. This includes financial constraints, limited human resources, and inadequate technological infrastructure.

Information asymmetries can also constrain SME upgrading. Lack of information about market requirements, available technologies, and upgrading opportunities can prevent SMEs from making informed decisions about their development strategies.

Power asymmetries within value chains can limit SME upgrading opportunities. Lead firms may actively prevent supplier upgrading to maintain control over high-value activities, a phenomenon known as "supplier captivity." Gereffi *et al.* (2005) from Duke University's Sociology Department demonstrate that governance structures significantly influence upgrading possibilities [12].

Sector-Specific Upgrading Patterns

Manufacturing SMEs

Manufacturing SMEs typically begin GVC participation as basic suppliers providing simple components or assembly services. Upgrading pathways often follow a sequential pattern from process improvement to product sophistication to functional expansion. Successful cases include automotive component suppliers in Mexico and electronics manufacturers in East Asia.

Ramirez *et al.* (2001) from the Institute of Development Studies show that Mexican automotive suppliers successfully upgraded from basic assembly operations to sophisticated component manufacturing through sustained engagement with international buyers [13].

Services SMEs

Services SMEs face different upgrading dynamics due to the intangible nature of services and their often knowledge-intensive characteristics. Information technology services, business process outsourcing, and creative services represent major opportunities for SME GVC participation.

Mitra and Sharma (2015) from the Indian Institute of Management demonstrate that Indian IT services SMEs successfully upgraded from basic coding services to comprehensive solution providers through systematic capability development [14].

Agri-food SMEs

Agricultural and food processing SMEs face unique challenges related to quality standards, traceability requirements, and seasonality. However, growing demand for specialty products, organic foods, and sustainable production creates opportunities for differentiation and upgrading.

Reardon *et al.* (2009) from Michigan State University's Department of Agricultural, Food, and Resource Economics show that successful agri-food SME upgrading often requires investments in post-harvest infrastructure, quality management systems, and certification processes [15].

Policy Framework for Supporting SME Upgrading

Effective policy support for SME upgrading through GVC integration requires comprehensive approaches addressing multiple constraints simultaneously. Policies should focus on building SME capabilities, improving business environments, and facilitating GVC participation.

Capability building programs should include technical training, management development, and technology transfer initiatives. These programs should be designed in collaboration with industry to ensure relevance and effectiveness.

Financial support mechanisms including credit guarantees, subsidized loans, and risk-sharing facilities can help SMEs overcome resource constraints for upgrading investments. However, these mechanisms must be carefully designed to avoid market distortions.

Infrastructure development including digital infrastructure, logistics facilities, and quality testing laboratories can create enabling environments for SME upgrading. These investments often require coordination between public and private sectors.

Successful Cases and Best Practices

Several countries and regions have successfully implemented programs to support SME upgrading through GVC integration. South Korea's comprehensive industrial development strategy included targeted support for SME development and GVC integration, contributing to the country's economic transformation.

Taiwan's experience with electronics manufacturing

demonstrates how SMEs can successfully upgrade within GVCs through systematic capability development and strategic positioning. The island's dense network of SMEs created a flexible and responsive production system that attracted international buyers.

More recently, Vietnam's textile and garment industry shows how SMEs can upgrade from basic assembly operations to full-package services through sustained capability development efforts. Goto (2012) from the Institute of Developing Economies documents this successful transformation [16].

Future Trends and Challenges

The landscape for SME upgrading through GVC integration continues to evolve due to technological advances, changing consumer preferences, and shifting global economic patterns. Digital technologies are creating new opportunities for SME participation in global value chains while also requiring new capabilities and investments.

Sustainability requirements are increasingly important in global value chains, creating both opportunities and challenges for SMEs. Companies that can demonstrate environmental and social compliance may gain competitive advantages, but meeting these requirements requires significant investments and capabilities.

Regional value chains are becoming more important relative to global chains, potentially creating new opportunities for SMEs to participate in shorter, more responsive value networks. This trend may favor SMEs with strong local market knowledge and flexibility.

Conclusion

GVC integration presents significant opportunities for SME upgrading and development, but success requires systematic approaches addressing multiple constraints and challenges. SMEs must develop appropriate capabilities, access necessary resources, and navigate complex value chain relationships to achieve successful upgrading.

The upgrading process is not automatic and requires active efforts from SMEs, supportive policy environments, and appropriate institutional frameworks. Different types of upgrading require different strategies and capabilities, and SMEs must carefully assess their options and develop appropriate approaches.

Policy support is essential for creating enabling environments for SME upgrading, but policies must be carefully designed and implemented to address real constraints and market failures. International cooperation and knowledge sharing can help accelerate learning and improve policy effectiveness.

Looking forward, SMEs will need to adapt to changing global value chain structures, technological advances, and sustainability requirements. Those that successfully navigate these changes will be well-positioned to capture the benefits of continued GVC integration and global economic growth.

References

1. Wignaraja G. Engaging small and medium enterprises in production networks: Firm-level analysis of five ASEAN economies. ADBI Working Paper No. 361. Asian Development Bank; 2012.
2. Baldwin R. Global supply chains: Why they emerged, why they matter, and where they are going. CTEI Working Paper. Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies; 2012.
3. Humphrey J, Schmitz H. How does insertion in global value chains affect upgrading in industrial clusters? Reg Stud. 2002;36(9):1017–27.
4. Morrison A, Pietrobelli C, Rabellotti R. Global value chains and technological capabilities: A framework to study learning and innovation in developing countries. Oxf Dev Stud. 2008;36(1):39–58.
5. Giuliani E, Pietrobelli C, Rabellotti R. Upgrading in global value chains: Lessons from Latin American clusters. World Dev. 2005;33(4):549–73.
6. Ponte S, Ewert J. Which way is 'up' in upgrading? Trajectories of change in the value chain for South African wine. World Dev. 2009;37(10):1637–50.
7. Fu X, Pietrobelli C, Soete L. The role of foreign technology and indigenous innovation in the emerging economies. World Dev. 2011;39(7):1204–12.
8. Knorringa P, Pegler L. Globalisation, firm upgrading and impacts on labour. Tijdschr Econ Soc Geogr. 2006;97(5):470–9.
9. Ivarsson I, Alvstam CG. Supplier upgrading in the home-furnishing value chain: An empirical study of IKEA's sourcing in China and South East Asia. World Dev. 2010;38(11):1575–87.
10. Altenburg T. Donor approaches to supporting pro-poor value chains. German Development Institute Report. German Development Institute; 2007.
11. Beck T, Demirguc-Kunt A. Small and medium-size enterprises: Access to finance as a growth constraint. J Bank Finance. 2006;30(11):2931–43.
12. Gereffi G, Humphrey J, Sturgeon T. The governance of global value chains. Rev Int Polit Econ. 2005;12(1):78–104.
13. Ramirez P, Rainbird H, Drummond I. Linking skills, knowledge and competitiveness: Learning and training in NAFTA. Int J Train Dev. 2001;5(4):258–74.
14. Mitra R, Sharma A. SME upgrading in global value chains: The case of Indian IT services. Glob Bus Rev. 2015;16(4):555–74.
15. Reardon T, Barrett CB, Berdegué JA, Swinnen JF. Agrifood industry transformation and small farmers in developing countries. World Dev. 2009;37(11):1717–27.
16. Goto K. Is the Vietnamese garment industry at a turning point? Upgrading from the export-oriented to the domestic market. IDE Discussion Paper No. 332. Institute of Developing Economies; 2012.
17. Nadvi K, Wältring F. Making sense of global standards. In: Schmitz H, editor. Local Enterprises in the Global Economy. Edward Elgar Publishing; 2004. p. 53–94.